

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,156	03/27/2002	Hiroaki Munchira	220800US2XPCT	9787	
1940 DUKE S	TREET	AIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			06/27/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

5/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/089,156	MUNEHIRA ET A	AL.				
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2613					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Quan-Zhen Wang.	(3)	•					
(2) <u>Michael Monaco</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 13 June 2007.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		,				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-14</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Kerfoot</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's proposed response does not appear to place the application in condition for allowance</u> . <u>Amending the claims to recite controlling the amplification of high and/or low end slice signals may clarify the invention</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
		· ,					
		·					
) 1.0					

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required